

1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266

651-582-8200

November 29, 2017

Ms. Teri Preisler, Superintendent Tri-City United Public Schools, ISD #2905 101 2nd St NE Montgomery, MN 56069-1529

Dear Superintendent Preisler:

Minn. Stat. § 123B.71 requires a review and comment statement on the educational and economic advisability of your proposed school construction project. Information supplied by your school district and from Minnesota Department of Education sources is the basis of this review and comment. With this positive review and comment, voter and board approval is required in order for Tri-City United Public Schools, ISD #2905 to proceed with the proposed projects.

The district shall publish a summary of the review and comment statement (the final two pages) in the legal newspaper of the district at least 20 days, but not more than 60 days, prior to holding a referendum for bonds or soliciting any bids for the construction, expansion, or remodeling of an educational facility. The Department may request a statement certifying the publication, and require the submission, review, and approval of preliminary and final construction plans.

Minn. Stat. § 123B.71 requires the Commissioner include comments from residents of the school district in the review and comment. As of the date of this letter, no public comments have been received. In addition, Minn. Stat. § 123B.71 requires the school board hold a public meeting to discuss the review and comment prior to the date of the bond referendum election.

Minn. Stat. § 123B.72 requires that a school district, prior to occupying a new or renovated facility after July 1, 2002, must submit a certification prepared by a system inspector to the commissioner and the building code official that will provide an occupancy permit. The certification must verify that the facility's installed or modified heating, ventilation, and air conditioning system operates according to design specifications and code, a system for monitoring outdoor airflow and total airflow of ventilation systems has been installed, and any installed or modified heating, ventilation, or air conditioning system provides an indoor air quality filtration system that meets ASHRAE (American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers) Standard 52.1.

If you have any questions, please contact Chris Kubesh, Education Finance Specialist, at (651) 582-8319 or <a href="mailto:chris.kubesh@state.mn.us">chris.kubesh@state.mn.us</a>. Thank you for working with us to improve school facilities for Minnesota students.

Sincerely,

Dr. Brenda Cassellius

Commissioner

cc: Marsha Franek, School Board Chair

Enclosure

education.state.mn.us

## THE COMMISSIONER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION REVIEW AND COMMENT ON THE SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION PROPOSAL OF TRI-CITY UNITED PUBLIC SCHOOLS, ISD #2905

A review and comment must be provided on a school district construction project proposal before the district conducts a referendum, solicits bids, or issues bonds for the project. A project proposal has been submitted for review and comment according to requirements set forth in Minn. Stat. § 123B.71, Subdivisions 9 and 10, and Minn. Stat. § 123B.72. The district provides the following information:

- 1. The geographic area and population to be served,
  - a. preschool through grade 12 student enrollment for the past five years, and
  - b. student enrollment projections for the next five years.
- 2. A list of existing school facilities
  - a. by year constructed,
  - b. their uses, and
  - c. an assessment of the extent to which alternate facilities are available within school district boundaries and in adjacent school districts.
- 3. A list of specific deficiencies of the facility
  - a. demonstrating the need for a new or renovated facility to be provided,
  - b. the process used to determine the deficiencies,
  - c. a list of those deficiencies that will and will not be addresses by the proposed projects,
  - d. a list of specific benefits that the new or renovated facility will provide to students, teachers, and community users served by the facility.
- 4. A description of the project including:
  - a. specifications of site and outdoor space acreage,
  - b. square footage allocations for classrooms, laboratories and support spaces,
  - c. estimated expenditures for major portions of the project,
  - d. estimated changes in facility operating costs,
  - e. dates the project will begin and be completed.
- 5. A specification of the source of project financing including:
  - a. applicable statutory citations,
  - b. the schedules date for a bond issue or school board action,
  - c. a schedule of payments, including debt service equalization aid, and
  - d. the effect of a bond issue on local property taxes by property class and valuation.
- 6. Documentation obligating the school district and contractors to comply with the following items:
  - a. section 471.345 governing municipal contracts,
  - b. sustainable design,
  - c. school facility commissioning under section 123B.72, certifying the plans and
  - d. designs for heating, ventilating, air conditioning and air filtration for an extensively
  - e. renovated or new facility meet or exceed current code standards, including ASHRAE air filtration standard 52.1 and
  - f. ANSI acoustical performance criteria, design requirements and guidelines for schools on maximum background noise levels and reverberation times,
  - g. State fire code,
  - h. chapter 326B governing building codes, and
  - consultation with affected government units about the impact of the project on utilities, roads, sewers, sidewalks, retention ponds, school bus and automobile traffic, access to mass transit and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists.

## **DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION PROJECT**

Tri-City United Public Schools, ISD #2905 is proposing a two question bond referendum on February 13, 2018. The first ballot question would authorize \$14.176 million in bonding authority to finance: additions, deferred maintenance, renovations and security improvements at attendance centers throughout the district. The largest project component includes a classroom / lab space addition at the high school. Minor building additions and upgrades are also proposed at the three elementary schools.

The second ballot question would authorize \$7.779 million in bonding authority to finance a 700 seat performing arts center along with ancillary space at the high school. Passage of the second question is contingent upon passage of the first question.

The projects would be scheduled for completion in the 2018 – 2019 calendar years. Cost estimates by ballot question / project type are as follows:

	Questi	on #1	
Lonsdale Elementary		Montgomery Elementary / Middle	
Classroom Addition	\$2,962,000	Classroom Addition	\$247,000
Site Improvements	\$204,000	Renovations	\$486,000
FF&E	\$193,000	Site Improvements	\$129,000
Fees / Permits / Services	\$452,000	FF&E	\$69,000
Technology	\$129,000	Fees / Permits / Services	\$119,000
Contingency	\$197,000	Technology	\$35,000
	\$4,137,000	Contingency	\$109,000
LeCenter Elementary / Middle			\$1,194,000
HVAC Upgrades	\$990,000	High School	
Roof Replacement	\$404,000	Classroom Addition	4,230,000
Secure Entrance / Admin. Upgrades	\$485,000	Renovations	145,000
FF&E	\$56,000	Site Improvements	522,000
Fees / Permits / Services	\$228,000	FF&E	253,000
Technology	\$38,000	Fees / Permits / Services	766,000
Contingency	\$220,000	Technology	202,000
	\$2,421,000	Contingency	306,000
Other Costs			6,424,000
Bond Issuance	\$230,398		
Capitalized Interest	\$382,515		
	\$612,913		
	Questi	on #2	
tell Colonel	Questi	υπ <b>π</b> 2	
High School	¢r 026 000		
Auditorium / Anciallary Space	\$5,036,000		
Site Improvements	\$988,000		
FF&E	\$306,000		
Fees / Permits / Services	\$834,000		
Technology	\$245,000		
Contingency	\$370,000		
Bond Issuance	\$108,945		
Capitalized Interest	\$203,234		
	\$8,091,179	j	

The district has supplied cost estimates to operate and staff the additional building space and believes existing revenues will be sufficient to fund the operational cost increases associated with the proposed facility additions.

If the bond referendum is successful and bonds are sold, the debt service on the bonds will be eligible for debt service equalization under Minn. Stat. § 123B.53, subd. 3, if the bond schedule is approved. The amount of debt service equalization aid, if any, the district receives is determined annually and is dependent upon property wealth, student population, and other statutory requirements. The school board believes the proposed projects are in the best interest of the district.

## **REVIEW AND COMMENT STATEMENT**

Based upon the department's analysis of the school district's required documentation and other pertinent information from sources of the Minnesota Department of Education, the Commissioner of Education provides a positive review and comment.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE**

Persons desiring additional information regarding this proposal should contact the school district superintendent's office.

Dr. Brenda Cassellius

Commissioner

November 29, 2017